FILEDYOR SEP 06 1342USIONRE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SCOTT WILLIAM CLEWIS,)			
Petitio	oner,	Cirril	No	06-971-TC
v.)		NO.	06-9/1-10
CHARLES DANIELS,)	ORDER		
Respond	dent.)			

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on August 4, 2006, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,

656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), <u>cert</u>. <u>denied</u>, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed August 4, 2006, in its entirety. The petition (#1) is denied. This proceeding is dismissed without prejudice to pursue any remedy petitioner might have against the individuals or entity that allegedly improperly disposed of his property.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 5th day of Sept., 2006.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE